

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LULULEMON ATHLETICA CANADA
INC., *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COSTCO WHOLESALE
CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:25-cv-05864-FLA (AJRx)

**ORDER DENYING MOVANT
DAVID KISSI'S JOINDER MOTION
[DKT. 19]**

1 On July 15, 2025, Movant David Kissi (“Movant”) filed a joinder motion
2 (“Joinder Motion”). Dkt. 19 (“Mot.”).


3 Joinder of plaintiffs in a single claim is permitted when (1) the plaintiffs assert a
4 right to relief that arises out of the same transaction or occurrence, and (2) “any
5 question of law or fact common to all plaintiffs will arise in the action.” Fed. R. Civ.
6 P. 20(a)(1).

7 Movant’s Joinder Motion is insufficient as to both requirements. Movant
8 alleges he did “about \$100,000 worth of work for Costco and Costco never paid[.]”
9 Mot. at 1. Movant’s right to relief does not arise from the same transaction or
10 occurrence as Plaintiffs lululemon usa, inc. and lululemon Canada inc.’s (“Plaintiffs”)
11 claims of trademark infringement. *See* Dkt. 1. Similarly, there is no question of law
12 or fact common to Plaintiffs and Movant.

13 The court, having considered Movant’s Motion and finding no good cause
14 therefor, hereby DENIES the Motion.

15
16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17
18 Dated: August 5, 2025

19 
20 FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA
21 United States District Judge
22
23
24
25
26
27
28